

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/810,593	PALMER, ROBERT M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

 (1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

 (2) Andrew R. Hicks.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 10/5/05

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 15 and 21-24, and non-elected claims 1-7, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of pages 6-8 of the specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy..